

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332)  
United States Attorney

BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)  
Chief, Criminal Division

EUMI L. CHOI (WVBN 722)  
Assistant United States Attorney

150 Almaden Boulevard  
San Jose, California 95113  
Telephone: (408) 535-5079  
Facsimile: (408) 535-5066  
Email: Eumi.Choi@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for the United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	No. CR 07-00373 RMW
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
	)	ORDER TO CONTINUE STATUS
v.	)	HEARING AND TO EXCLUDE TIME
	)	FROM APRIL 7, 2008 to MAY 5, 2008
NED ROSCOE, and	)	FROM THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
JOHN ROSCOE,	)	CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. §
	)	3161(h)(8)(A),(B))
Defendants.	)	

On April 7, 2008, the parties appeared for a hearing before the Court for a status hearing. At that time, the Court granted the motion of counsel for defendant Ned Roscoe to be removed from the case due to a conflict of interest, and appointed Mark Eibert, Esq., as new counsel. Based upon the request of the parties, the Court set the matter for a status hearing on May 5, 2008 to allow for newly appointed counsel's preparation and review of the discovery in this case. The government and counsel for both defendants thus stipulated to an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act to allow counsel reasonable time for effective preparation.

The United States hereby submits this written request for an order finding that said time is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, in that the ends of justice are served

1 by taking such action and outweigh the best interests of the public and defendants in a speedy  
2 trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A). Further, the failure to grant such a continuance would  
3 unreasonably deny counsel for defendant Ned Roscoe the reasonable time necessary for effective  
4 preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

5  
6 DATED: April 8, 2008

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO  
United States Attorney

7  
8 \_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
EUMI L. CHOI  
9 Assistant United States Attorney

10 \_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
11 MARK EIBERT  
12 Attorney for Defendant Ned Roscoe

13 \_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
14 PETER LEEMING  
15 Attorney for Defendant John Roscoe

**ORDER**

Based upon the stipulation of the parties, and for good cause shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the time between April 7, 2008 and May 5, 2008 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The Court finds that the ends of justice are served by taking such action and outweigh the best interests of the public and defendants in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A). Further, the failure to grant such a continuance would unreasonably deny counsel for defendants and the Government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The Court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:

---

RONALD M. WHYTE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE